UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/609,000   | 06/26/2003  | Steven Reynolds      | 2050.123US1          | 8368             |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/OPEN TV P.O. BOX 2938 |             |                      | EXAMINER             |                  |
|  |             |                      | INGVOLDSTAD, BENNETT |                  |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938                           |             |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2427                 |                  |
|  |             |                      |                      |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 02/06/2009           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.     | Applicant(s)    |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/609,000          | REYNOLDS ET AL. |  |
| Examiner            | Art Unit        |  |
| Bennett Ingvoldstad | 2427            |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on   | the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |
|---|--|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC   | ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:  | (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request                           |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of   | the final rejection.   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than  | Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In   |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance v  | with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of   |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS  | nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a etime period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |
| <br>3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prio  | r to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because   |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further considera  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  | ,  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form<br>appeal; and/or   | n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp  | onding number of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and   | · · · ·  |
| 4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See   | attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   | ,  |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-31 and 33-35.  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffici<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notic<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and w   | ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a  |
| 10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the   | status of the claims after entry is below or attached.   |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does I   | NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |
| <del>.</del>  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | B/08) Paper No(s)  |
| /Scott Beliveau/  | /Rennett_Ingvoldstad/  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427  | /Bennett Ingvoldstad/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2427   |
|   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments introduce new issues and require a further search. The proposed amendments do not merely cancel claims or comply with any requirement of form expressly set forth in a previous Office action, nor do they merely present the claims in better form for condition on appeal, nor is there provided a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the amendments are necessary and were not earlier presented.